

U.S. 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals Reports

TUFTS v. THE CORPORATION OF LLOYD'S, 128 F.3d 793 (2nd Cir. 1997)  
J. DAVID TUFTS, III; ESTATE OF JAMES D. TUFTS, II;  
PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS,  
ALBERT B. CRUTCHER; LINDA TUFTS HEBBLER, PLAINTIFFS,

v.

THE CORPORATION OF LLOYD'S, A/K/A THE SOCIETY AND COUNCIL OF LLOYD'S, D/B/A LLOYD'S  
OF LONDON, DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES.

No. 215, Docket No. 96-9262.

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.

Argued: October 22, 1997.

Decided: November 10, 1997.

STEVEN B. FEIGENBAUM, Levi & Lubarsky, New York, New York (Laura Gilbert, of counsel) for Plaintiffs-Appellants.

MOLLY S. BOAST, LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, L.L.P., New York, New York (Stephen H. Orel, Taylor R. Briggs, of counsel) for Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from a judgement in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (John F. Keenan, Judge) dismissing appellants' Rule 60(b) action for relief from the judgement in Roby v. Corporation of Lloyd's, 824 F.Supp. 336 (S.D.N.Y. 1992), *aff'd*, 996 F.2d 1353 (2d Cir. 1993). We affirm.

Before: WINTER, Chief Judge, MESKILL, Circuit Judge, and POLLACK, District Judge.[fn1] [fn1] The Honorable Milton Pollack, of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, sitting by designation.

PER CURIAM: [1] J. David Tufts III and the Estate of James D. Tufts II appeal from Judge Keenan's dismissal of their Rule 60(b) action for relief from the judgment in Roby v. Corporation of Lloyd's, 824 F.Supp. 336 (S.D.N.Y. 1992), *aff'd*, 996 F.2d 1353 (2d Cir. 1993).

We affirm for substantially the reasons stated by the district court.

See Tufts v. Corporation of Lloyd's, 981 F.Supp. 808, 1996 WL 533639 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 19, 1996).