FILED

1

2

3

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2013 FEB 14 AM 10: 54

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

BY:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERI Plainti	·)	CCR 1 INFOR	3 00 MATI	0108 ^º ^N
v.)	[18 U.S.C	. § 371:	Conspiracy]
ZVI SPERLING,)			• .
Defenda	int.)			
	•)			

The United States Attorney charges:

INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

At all relevant times:

- 1. Defendant ZVI SPERLING ("SPERLING") was a United States citizen residing in Los Angeles, California, within the Central District of California.
- 2. The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") was an agency of the United States Department of Treasury responsible for administering and enforcing the tax laws of the United States and collecting the taxes owed to the Treasury of the United States by

its citizens.

 $\cdot 11$

- 3. United States citizens had an obligation to report the following information to the IRS on Form 1040, Schedule B, Part III, Line 7a, by checking a "Yes" or "No" box: "At any time during [the calendar year], did you have an interest in or a signature or other authority over a financial account in a foreign country, such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account?" If the answer to Line 7a was "Yes," and other exceptions not relevant here did not apply, then Line 7b required the taxpayer to enter the name of the foreign country in which the financial account was located. United States citizens had an obligation to report all income earned from foreign financial accounts on the tax return and to pay the taxes due on that income.
- 4. United States citizens who had a financial interest in, or signature authority over, one or more financial accounts in a foreign country with an aggregate value of more than \$10,000 at any time during a particular year were required to file with the Department of the Treasury a Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts on Form TD F 90-22.1 ("the FBAR"). The FBAR for the applicable year was due by June 30 of the following year.
- 5. An "undeclared bank account" was a financial account maintained in a foreign country that was not reported to the U.S. government on a tax return.
 - 6. A foreign nominee entity was an entity whose ownership

- 7. The Island of Nevis, West Indies, was a tax haven, meaning that its institutions and laws, including bank secrecy laws, were intended to conceal financial information from other countries.
- 8. Orot Investments Limited was a foreign nominee entity incorporated in the Island of Nevis that was owned and controlled by defendant SPERLING and his brother.
- 9. Attorney 1 was an attorney in Tel Aviv, Israel.

 Attorney 1 assisted U.S. citizens in setting up foreign nominee entities to be used in connection with opening undeclared bank accounts in Israel.
- 10. Bank A was headquartered in Tel Aviv, Israel, and had over 100 branches worldwide, including a branch in Los Angeles, California ("the Los Angeles Branch").
- 11. Bank B was a large financial institution headquartered in Tel Aviv, Israel, that described itself as maintaining a "premier position in the world of international private banking" with private bankers who will be a client's "loyal and discreet consultant." Bank B had more than 300 branches and offices located in 18 countries worldwide.

- 12. Banker 1 was an international accounts manager with Bank A. Banker 1 solicited and routed deposits into undeclared bank accounts at Bank A in Israel from clients in the United States, and offered loan products on behalf of Bank A and the Los Angeles Branch to clients in the United States.
- 13. Banker 2 and Banker 3 were accounts managers at Bank A in Israel. Banker 2 and 3 would advise U.S. citizens on how to invest the funds they maintained in their undeclared bank accounts.
- 14. Banker 4 was a loan officer at the Los Angeles Branch.

 Banker 4 would approve "back-to-back loans" for U.S. clients who had undeclared bank accounts at Bank A in Israel, and would assist U.S. clients in obtaining "back-to-back loans."
- 15. A "back-to-back loan" was a loan taken out at Bank A at the Los Angeles Branch that was secured or collateralized by funds in an account located in Israel at Bank A (the "pledged account"). The pledged account in Israel was held in a certificate of deposit, and there was usually a 1% to 2% spread between the interest earned on the certificate of deposit and the interest charged on the back-to-back loan.
- 16. These introductory allegations are re-alleged in Count One of this information.

[31 U.S.C. § 371]

A. OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY

17. From in or about December 2001, and continuing thereafter up to at least in or about April 2011, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant SPERLING, together with others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed to defraud the United States by impeding, impairing, obstructing, and defeating the lawful government functions of a government agency, namely, the Internal Revenue Service of the Treasury Department, by deceitful and dishonest means in the ascertainment, computation, assessment, and collection of revenue, namely, income taxes.

B. MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 18. The object of the conspiracy was carried out, and to be carried out, in substance, as follows:
- a. Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did open and maintain undeclared accounts at Bank A in Israel;
- b. Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did use a foreign nominee entity when opening undeclared accounts at Bank A in Israel;
- c. Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did use a "hold mail" designation on the account opening documents to prevent Bank A from sending

3.

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

account statements directly to defendant SPERLING in the United States;

- d. Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did cause account statements to be sent from Bank A in Israel to Attorney 1 in Israel rather than mailing them directly to defendant SPERLING in the United States;
- Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did transfer funds to defendant SPERLING's undeclared account at Bank A in Israel for the purpose of subsequently using those funds as collateral for back-to-back loans;
- Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did use funds in defendant SPERLING'S undeclared account at Bank A in Israel as collateral to obtain back-to-back loans from the Los Angeles Branch;
- Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to q. the United States would and did obtain account information from Israel relating to defendant SPERLING's undeclared account at Bank A in Israel for the purpose of renewing his back-to-back loans at the Los Angeles branch;
- Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to h. the United States would and did conceal the fact that the collateral being used for defendant SPERLING's back-to-back loans was in fact his and his brother's money that was being held in certificates of deposit at Bank A in Israel;

- i. Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did purposely not keep copies of documents at the Los Angeles Branch relating to the opening of defendant SPERLING's undeclared bank account in Israel, despite the fact that the documents were created by bank employees at the Los Angeles Branch;
- j. Representatives of Bank A and others known and unknown to the United States would and did travel to the United States and meet with defendant SPERLING in Los Angeles, California, to review his account statements and discuss his undeclared account; and
- k. Defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States would and did file false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns, Forms 1040, on behalf of defendant SPERLING that failed to report his respective interests in his undeclared accounts and the related income.

C. OVERT ACTS

19. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish its objects, defendant SPERLING and others known and unknown to the United States committed and willfully caused others to commit the following overt acts, among others, in the Central District of California and elsewhere:

Overt Act No. 1: In or about 2001, defendant SPERLING and Banker 1 met in Beverly Hills, California, to discuss opening a secret bank account at Bank A in Israel that could be used to

secure back-to-back loans obtained from the Los Angeles Branch.

Overt Act No. 2: On or about December 27, 2001, Attorney 1 in Israel created a foreign nominee entity in the Island of Nevis called Orot Investments Limited.

Overt Act No. 3: On or about January 6, 2002, defendant SPERLING opened an account at Bank A in Israel in the name of Orot Investments Limited (the "Orot account").

Overt Act No. 4: On or about January 6, 2002, defendant SPERLING transferred at least \$100,000 from an account in China to the Orot account at Bank A in Israel.

Overt Act No. 5: Beginning in or about 2003, through in or about 2008, Banker 4 approved multiple back-to-back loans for defendant SPERLING, some with principal balances of \$2.5 million, using funds in the Orot account as collateral.

Overt Act No. 6: In or about 2003, during a meeting in Israel, Banker 2 and Banker 3 advised defendant SPERLING to avoid investing the funds in the Orot account in U.S. securities in order to keep the account secret from the U.S. government.

Overt Act No. 7: In or about 2008, after being informed by the Los Angeles Branch that he needed to pay back his back-to-back loans, defendant SPERLING used a back-to-back loan from Bank B to pay off the back-to-back loan from Bank A in order to keep his money secret from the United States Government.

Overt Act No. 8: In or about the end of 2007 or the beginning of 2008, with the assistance of bankers working at a

branch of Bank B in Los Angeles, defendant SPERLING moved the remaining funds in the Orot account at Bank A in Israel to an undeclared bank account at Bank B in Israel.

Overt Act No. 9: From in or about 2003, through in or about 2010, defendant SPERLING failed to disclose his bank accounts at Bank A or Bank B to his tax return preparer.

Overt Act No. 10: On or about April 15 of the succeeding calendar year, defendant SPERLING filed U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns, Forms 1040, for the tax years 2006 through 2010, that failed to report the existence of, and related income from, his undeclared bank accounts at Bank A and Bank B.

ANDRE BIROTTE, JR.
United States Attorney

ROBERT DUGDALE

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

Chier, Griminal Division

SANDRA R. BROWN

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Tax Division

JOHN SULLIVAN
Senior Litigation Counsel
United States Department of Justice
Tax Division

ELIZABETH C. HADDEN
Assistant Chief
United States Department of Justice
Tax Division