Case 1:15-cr-00706-VSB Document 171 Filed 03/28/16 Page 1 of 32

G3g6lorp 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 15 CR 706 (VSB) v. 5 FRANCIS LORENZO, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. March 16, 2016 9 12:00 noon 10 Before: 11 HON. VERNON S. BRODERICK, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** PREET BHARARA 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 JANIS ECHENBERG DANIEL C. RICHENTAL 17 Assistant United States Attorney HIRSCHHORN & BIEBER, P.A. 18 Attorneys for Defendant Lorenzo 19 BRIAN H. BIEBER 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 (In open court; case called) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your 2 3 appearances for the record. 4 MS. ECHENBERG: Good afternoon, your Honor. Janis 5 Echenberg for the government. With me at counsel table is my colleague Daniel Richenthal, as well as Jason Alberts from the 6 7 FBI and Sheldon Tang from the IRS. MR. BIEBER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Brian Bieber 8 9 on behalf of Francis Lorenzo, who is present. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Lorenzo. 12 Mr. Lorenzo, I understand that or I have been informed 13 that you wish to plead quilty to a six-count superseding 14 information; is that correct? 15 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct. THE COURT: Ms. Williams, if you could, show 16 17 Mr. Lorenzo a copy of the waiver of indictment form. You can mark it as Court Exhibit 1. 18 Mr. Lorenzo, my deputy clerk just handed you a copy of 19 20 the waiver of indictment form. Is that your signature on that 21 form? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 23 THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you discuss it 24 with Mr. Bieber?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

25

1	THE COURT: Did he explain it to you?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.
3	THE COURT: Ms. Williams, if you could take that back
4	and take care of getting it filed.
5	Mr. Lorenzo, do you understand that you are under no
6	obligation to waive indictment?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.
8	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were not to
9	waive indictment and the government wants to prosecute you, it
10	would have to present the case to a grand jury, which may or
11	may not indict you?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving
14	indictment, you are giving up your right to have your case
15	presented to a grand jury?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.
17	THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.
19	THE COURT: Does either counsel know of any reason
20	that I should not find Mr. Lorenzo has knowingly and
21	voluntarily waived his right to be indicted by a grand jury?
22	MS. ECHENBERG: No, your Honor.
23	MR. BIEBER: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: I find that Mr. Lorenzo has knowingly and
25	voluntarily waived his right to be indicted by a grand jury,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I authorize the filing of the superseding information.

Now, Mr. Lorenzo, before I accept your guilty plea, I am going to ask you certain questions so that I may establish to my satisfaction that you wish to plead guilty because you are guilty and not for some other reason, and also to establish that you know what you will be giving up by pleading guilty. If you don't understand any of my questions, just stop me and either I will explain or your lawyer will explain it to you more fully.

Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.

THE COURT: We will take as much time as we need.

Ms. Williams, if you could please swear in

Mr. Lorenzo.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Mr. Lorenzo, will you raise your right hand.

(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, you are now under oath, which means that if you answer any of my questions falsely, you may be prosecuted for the separate crime of perjury.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, my Honor.

THE COURT: What is your full name?

THE DEFENDANT: Francis Lorenzo.

THE COURT: How old are you?

1	THE DEFENDANT: 48.
2	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
3	THE DEFENDANT: College.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
5	for any mental illness?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No.
7	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been
8	under the care of a doctor or psychiatrist?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
11	for any type of addiction, including drug or alcohol addiction?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No.
13	THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicine or
14	pills or drunk any alcoholic beverages in the past two days?
15	THE DEFENDANT: No.
16	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Do you understand what is happening today?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Bieber, have you discussed this matter
21	with your client?
22	MR. BIEBER: Yes, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Does he understand the rights he will be
24	waiving by pleading guilty?
25	MR. BIEBER: Yes, he does.

1 THE COURT: Is he capable of understanding the nature of these proceeding? 2 3 MR. BIEBER: Yes. 4 Do you prefer I stand? 5 That is okay. You can remain seated. THE COURT: No. 6 Do either counsel know or have any doubt as to Mr. 7 Lorenzo's competence to plead guilty at this time? 8 MS. ECHENBERG: No, your Honor. 9 MR. BIEBER: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Lorenzo's responses to 11 my questions, my observations of his demeanor here in court and 12 the representations of counsel, I find that he is fully 13 competent to enter an informed plea of quilty at this time. 14 Mr. Lorenzo, have you received a copy of the 15 superseding information? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 17 THE COURT: Did you read it? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Do you waive its public reading at this 20 time? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor. 22 THE COURT: Have you had enough of a chance to discuss with your lawyer the charges to which you intend to plead 23 24 quilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Does that include any possible defenses to 1 2 those charges? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Has your lawyer explained to you the 5 consequences of entering a guilty plea? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Mr. Bieber's 8 representation? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Now I am going to explain to you certain 11 constitutional rights that you have. These are rights that you 12 are going to be giving up by pleading guilty. Again, listen 13 carefully to everything I am about to say. If you don't 14 understand something, we'll just stop the proceedings and 15 either I or your lawyer will explain it to you. 16 Okay? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Now, under the Constitution and laws of 19 the United States, you have a right to plead not guilty to the 20 charges contained in the superseding information. 21 Do you understand that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 23 THE COURT: If you do plead not quilty, you would be 24 entitled to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges

contained in the superseding indictment.

25

1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: At such a trial, you would be presumed 4 innocent and the government would be required to prove you 5 quilty by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt before 6 you could be found quilty. 7 Do you understand that? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 9 THE COURT: A jury of 12 people would have to agree 10 unanimously that you were quilty and you would not have to 11 prove that you were innocent if you were to go to trial. 12 Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: At a trial and every stage of the 15 proceeding and every stage of this case, you would be entitled to be represented by a lawyer if you could not afford one. 16 17 would be entitled to be represented by a lawyer and if you 18 could not afford one, one would be appointed at public expense, 19 in other words, free of cost to represent you. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: During a trial the witnesses for the 23 government would have to come here to court and testify in your

the government, object to evidence offered by the government,

Your lawyer could cross-examine the witnesses for

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and offer evidence in your own defense if you desired. You would also have the right to have subpoenas issued or other process to compel witnesses to testify on your behalf.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At a trial, although you would have the right to testify if you chose to do so, you also would have the right not to testify. And if you decided not to testify, no one, not even the jury, could draw any inference or suggestion of your guilt from the fact that you did not testify.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you had a full opportunity to discuss with your lawyer whether there is any basis to seek suppression of some or all of the evidence against you on the ground that your constitutional rights were violated? Have you had an opportunity to discuss with your lawyer that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand by pleading guilty, you are giving up your right to seek suppression of any evidence against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, if you are convicted at trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict.

Do you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, even now as you sit here and even now as you are entering your guilty plea, you have the right to change your mind and go to trial on the charges contained in the superseding information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, if you plead guilty and I accept your plea, you will give up your right to the trial and the other rights that I have just explained to you, other than the right to a lawyer, which you have regardless of whether or not you plead guilty; but there will be no trial and I will enter a judgment of guilty and sentence on the basis of your plea after I have considered a presentence report and whatever submissions I get from the government and from your lawyer. There will be no appeal with respect to whether the government could or could not have used the evidence it has against you or with respect to whether you did or did not commit the crimes that are charged in the superseding information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, if you plead guilty, you will also give up your right not to incriminate yourself because I am going to ask you in a moment questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are in fact guilty as charged,

and you will have to admit and acknowledge your quilt. 1 2 Do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of 5 the rights I have just explained to you? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, are you willing to give up your right to trial and the other rights I have just discussed 8 9 with you? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Now, with regard to the charges that are 12 in the superseding information, do you understand that the 13 superseding information charges you with conspiracy to commit 14 bribery, bribery, conspiracy to commit money laundering, money 15 laundering, subscribing to false and fraudulent Individual Income Tax Returns and the willful failure to file reports of 16 17 foreign bank and financial records? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Echenberg, if could you, please recite 19 20 each of the elements for the six counts in the superseding 21 information.

MS. ECHENBERG: Yes, your Honor. With respect to

Count One the elements are as follows: First, the existence of
the conspiracy charged, that is, an agreement or understanding
to commit bribery; second, that the defendant knowingly and

22

23

24

25

willfully became a member of that conspiracy; and third, that any one of the co-conspirators, not necessarily the defendant, but any member of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy during the life of the conspiracy.

The object or the goal of the conspiracy charged in Count One is bribery and that offense has five elements, which are also the elements of Count Two, and I will go through those now:

First, at the time alleged in the superseding information, the person being bribed was an agent of an organization or organizations; second, that in a one-year period that organization or organizations received federal benefits in access of \$10,000; third, that the defendant gave or agreed to give or offered to give something of value to the person being bribed; fourth, that the defendant acted corruptly with the intent to influence with respect to a business or transaction of the organization at issue; fifth, that the value of the business or transaction to which the payment or payments related was at least \$5,000.

Count Three charges the defendant with conspiracy to commit money laundering from in or about the spring of 2012 up and including in or about September 2015. The elements of that charge are the conspiracy as I provided with respect to Count One, except no overt act is required.

For clarification, for Count One the time period is spring 2011 up to and including in or about September 2015.

Going back to Count Three. The object or the goal of the charged conspiracy of Count Three is money laundering, which has three elements and those are also the elements of Count Four, which I will recite now. First, the defendant transmitted monetary funds; second, the defendant's transmissions of the funds were either from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside the United States; third, the defendant's transmission of monetary funds was done with the intent to promote the carrying on of a specific unlawful activity, which includes bribery of a United Nations official and bribery of a foreign official.

Count Five charges the defendant with subscribing to false and fraudulent United States Tax Returns for the tax years of 2010 to 2013. The elements of that charge are as follows: First, the defendant signed an income tax return that contained a written declaration that was made under penalty perjury; second, that the return falsely stated the defendant's gross income amount; third, that the defendant purposely made the statement and knew it was false.

Count Six charges the defendant with willful failure to file reports of foreign bank and financial records for the

calendar years of 2012 to 2014. The elements of that count are as follows: First, the defendant maintained at least one account overseas that had a value of over \$10,000 in it; second, that the defendant failed to report that account on the FR form filed by June 30th of the following calendar year in which he maintained that account; and third, the defendant did so willfully.

The government would prove all of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt and it would also prove venue for each of those counts by a preponderance of the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lorenzo, do you understand that if you were to go to trial, the government would have to prove all of the elements the prosecutor just mentioned for each of the six counts to which you were pleading guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to discuss with you and explain the maximum penalties for these crimes. The maximum means the most that could possibly be imposed. It does not mean that that is what you will necessarily receive; but you need to understand that by pleading guilty, you're subjecting yourself to any combination of punishments up to the maximum that I am about to describe.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: First I am going to talk to you about the restrictions on your liberty, in other words, potential jail time and supervised release. The maximum term of imprisonment for the crime charged in Count One of the superseding information is five years' imprisonment and the maximum term of supervised release is three years. Supervised release means that when you are released from prison, you will be under the supervision of the Probation Department and there will be certain rules of supervised release that you will have to follow. If you violate any of those rules, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time with no credit for time-served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on postrelease supervision.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, the maximum term of imprisonment on Count Two of the superseding information is 10 years' imprisonment. You also face a maximum supervised release term of three years. The maximum term of imprisonment on Count Three of the superseding information is 20 years. You will also face a term of supervised release of three years on that count. The maximum term of imprisonment on Count Four is 20 years' imprisonment and also a three-year term of supervised release. The maximum term of imprisonment on Count Five is

three years of imprisonment and you face a maximum term of supervised release of one year. With regard to Count Six, you face a maximum term of imprisonment of five years and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

Now, you should understand that there is no parole in the federal system and if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole. There is a limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior, but you'll have to serve at least 85 percent of the time that you are sentenced to.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.2

THE COURT: Now, the maximum term of incarceration that you face to all of the charges contained in the superseding information is 63 years' imprisonment. Now, in addition to the restrictions on your liberty, the maximum punishment also includes certain financial penalties. The maximum allowable fine with regard to each of Counts One and Two is \$250,000 or twice the gross gain derived from the offense or twice the gross loss to persons other than yourself as a result of the offense.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The maximum allowable fine with regard to each of Counts Three and Four is \$500,000 or twice the gross

gain derived from the offense or twice the gross loss to persons other than yourself as a result of the offense.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The maximum allowable fine with regard to each of Counts Five and Six is \$250,000 or twice the gross gain derived from the offense or twice the loss to persons, other than yourself, as a result of the offense.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, as part of the agreement --

MS. ECHENBERG: Your Honor, if I could make one clarification with regard to Counts Three or Four. It is possible it was not reflected on the designation sheet. The fine with respect to Counts Three and Four is the greatest of \$500,000, which is what your Honor stated, or it is actually twice the value of the monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation, transmission or transfer. That is as reflected in the plea agreement on page 2.

THE COURT: Okay. My mistake.

MS. ECHENBERG: If that needs to be corrected on the designation sheet, we can take care of that.

THE COURT: I don't know whether it does.

MS. ECHENBERG: That is what I thought, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Lorenzo, Counts Three and Four as

the prosecutor just mentioned the maximum fine is \$500,000 or twice the value of monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation, transmission or transfer of the monetary instrument.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, as part of your agreement with the government that I will discuss with you in more detail in a moment, you have agreed to file accurate, amended United States Individual Tax Returns, Forms 1040, for the years 2010 through 2014; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

THE COURT: You have also agreed to pay past taxes due and owing to the Internal Revenue Service including applicable penalties on the terms and conditions that you will work with the IRS to arrange; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You've also agreed not to contest the applicability of any civil fraud penalties; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: As part of your plea agreement with the government, you also agreed to pay restitution to the IRS in the full amount of the tax due and owing as a result of the use of the undisclosed foreign bank accounts; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, with regard to your failure to file reports of foreign bank and financial accounts, do you understand that you've agreed to pay a civil penalty to the IRS equal to or greater than \$100,000 or 50 percent of the highest total balance of each of the foreign financial accounts in which you had a financial interest or over which you had signature or other authority during the calendar years 2012, 2013 and 2014.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I can also order forfeiture of all property derived from the offense or used to facilitate the offense. Again, I note as part of your plea agreement you have agreed to admit to the forfeiture allegation as it relates to Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the superseding information. Specifically, you agree to forfeit to the government any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is deprived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the offenses alleged in Counts One and Two of the superseding information and any property, real or personal, involved in the offenses charged in Counts Three and Four and any property traceable to such property; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Finally I must order a mandatory special assessment of \$100 on each count for a total of \$600. The

special assessment will be due immediately upon sentencing. 1 2 Do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, I understand you are a 5 citizen of the United States? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that as a result of your guilty plea, you may lose certain valuable civil rights to the 8 9 extent that you have them now or to the extent that you can 10 otherwise obtain them such as the right to vote, the right to 11 hold public office, the right to serve on a jury and the right 12 to possess any kind of firearm? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, are you now serving any 15 sentence, state or federal, or being prosecuted by the state 16 for any crime? 17 THE DEFENDANT: No. 18 THE COURT: Are you currently on parole? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. 20 THE COURT: Do you understand that if your lawyer or 21 anyone else has attempted to predict for you what your sentence 22 will be that their prediction could be wrong? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Now, no one -- not your lawyer, not the 25 government's lawyer -- no one can give you any assurance of

what your sentence will be because I am going to decide your sentence and I am not going to do that today. As I mentioned I am going to wait until I receive a presentence report prepared by the Probation Department. I will do my own independent calculation of the sentencing guideline range. I will consider it and any possible departures from it. I will consider any submissions made by Mr. Bieber and the government's attorneys. Also, I will determine in the end what a reasonable sentence is for you based upon the sentencing factors contained in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed these issues with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, even if your sentence is different from what your lawyer or anyone else has predicted it might be, even if it is different from what you expect or if it is different from anything that might be contained in your plea agreement or any discussions you may have had with the government, you will still be bound by your guilty plea and I will not allow you to withdraw your guilty plea.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, as I mentioned this is a written plea

agreement in this case. It is dated March 15th, 2016. I have 1 the original in my hand. I am going to hand it to my deputy 2 3 clerk and ask that she show it to Mr. Lorenzo. 4 Mr. Lorenzo, my deputy clerk has just handed you the 5 original of the plea agreement. If you take a look at the last 6 page, is that your signature? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Did you sign the agreement earlier today 8 9 in the presence of your lawyer Mr. Bieber? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Did you also initial each of the pages of 12 that agreement? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with Mr. Bieber before 15 you signed it? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you 18 signed it? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: If you could give that back to my deputy 21 clerk. 22 Ms. Williams, you can give it to the government and 23 they can hold on to the original. 24 That agreement is binding on you and it is binding on

your attorney and it is binding on the government but it not

25

binding on me. As I mentioned I have my own obligation to determine the correct guideline range and what the appropriate sentence is in your case.

Do you understand that your plea agreement is not binding on me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that as part of your plea agreement, you have agreed to do certain things? Now, this is just a summary. You have agreed that you will truthfully and completely disclose information with respect to your activities and the activities of others concerning matters about which you are asked by the government; that you will cooperate with the government, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the IRS; you will attend meetings as requested by the government; you'll also provide the government any document or record or tangible evidence relating to matters about which the government asks you.

Do you understand that these are some of the obligations that you are signing onto by agreeing to your plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed the nature of the cooperation to which you have agreed with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you comply

with all the terms of the agreement, including by providing substantial assistance, the government will make a motion on your behalf pursuant to 5K1.1 of the sentencing guidelines and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(e) requesting that I sentence you in light of the factors set forth in Section 5k1.1 of the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the government determines in its sole discretion that you have not complied with the terms of your agreement, that it is relieved from making a motion on your half but you cannot withdraw your guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if such a motion is filed by the government, the sentence that you receive remains in my sole discretion? In other words, I will determine your sentence, no one else.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, does the written agreement dated March 15th of this year constitute your complete and total understanding of the entire agreement you have with the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Has anything been left out?

1 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Now, other than what is written in the 2 3 agreement, has anyone made any promise or offered you any 4 inducement -- in other words, some encouragement or 5 incentive -- to plead quilty or to sign the agreement? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No. 7 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you to 8 plead guilty or to sign the plea agreement? 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. 10 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise to you as to 11 what your sentence will be? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, how do you plead? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, now we've come to the part of the proceeding that I mentioned to you earlier. I am going 16 asking you what it is that you did that makes you believe that 17 18 you are guilty of the charges contained in the superseding information? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, beginning in 2011 and 21 continuing through the 2015, I agreed with others to facilitate 22 the payments of bribes and conduct transactions that violate 23 the law of the United States. My criminal conduct included but 24 was not limited to agreeing to assist in--25 THE COURT: Take your time.

THE DEFENDANT: -- to assist and causing John Ashe, the Permanent Representative to the United Nation of Antigua to introduce documents at the United Nations in support of the real-estate project to be developed by Ng Lap Seng and to seek influence of other foreign officials for the benefit of Ng Lap Seng.

Among other things, on or about June 3rd, 2014, I assisted in and facilitated the payment of about \$200,000 wired to a bank account belonging to John Ashe, the then President of the United Nations General Assembly, was done with the intent to influence John Ashe in his official capacity to act in ways to benefit Ng Lap Seng and others.

Moreover, from at least the spring of 2011 through
September 2015 I knowingly and intentionally agreed to
participate in having funds sent from China to the United
States and from the United States to places outside of the
United States for the purpose of paying and rewarding John Ashe
and foreign officials in Antigua to take official action. Some
of the meetings in furtherance of this action I described are
here in Manhattan.

I also filed false and fraudulent tax returns by omitting income for the tax year 2010-2013 and then only failed to file the reports for foreign bank and financial accounts disclosing that I had financial interests in foreign bank accounts. At least one of those bank accounts had a total

value of more than 10,000. I know that I would have -- should have disclosed the accounts to the IRS.

I understood that what I was doing as I just described it was wrong.

THE COURT: I am sorry. You understood that with regard to each of the crimes that you just described that what you were doing was wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Bieber, do you know of any valid defense that will prevail at trial or do you know of any reason why Mr. Lorenzo should not be permitted to plead guilty at this time?

MR. BIEBER: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Echenberg, are there any additional questions that I should ask Mr. Lorenzo?

MS. ECHENBERG: No, your Honor. The government would just proffer with respect to venue for the tax counts, the tax filings were prepared in Manhattan and they were filed from the Bronx. They were mailed from the box.

THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, you just heard what the prosecutor said. Is that an accurate statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MS. ECHENBERG: Your Honor, I would also proffer that the United Nations received more than \$10,000 per year in federal funds in each of the relevant years.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Echenberg, if you could, please summarize what the government's evidence would be had Mr. Lorenzo gone to trial?

MS. ECHENBERG: Yes, your Honor. At trial the government would have proven each of the elements of the crime through witness testimony, e-mails, bank records and other evidence.

Would you like me to describe --

THE COURT: If could you, yes.

MS. ECHENBERG: So the evidence would show that during the relevant time frame Mr. Lorenzo in return for payments agreed and did give payments and other things of value to John Ashe, who served as a Permanent Representative to the United Nations in Antiqua and Barbuda until December 2014 and served as President of the United Nations General Assembly between 2013 and 2014. In exchange and to reward John Ashe for taking official action, among other things, at the request of co-defendants Ng Lap Seng and Jeff C. Yin, Lorenzo arranged for payments to Ashe so that Ashe would take action in his own official capacity and use his position as a diplomatic and United Nations General Assembly President to influence other United Nations and foreign officials in connection with business transactions of Mr. Ng and others worth far more than \$10,000, including with respect to the proposed development of a conference center in Macau, China.

Lorenzo also agreed to, and there would be evidence, that Lorenzo also agreed to and did arrange for payments to one or more foreign officials in return for those officials' agreeing to take official action. At least some of the payments to Lorenzo and at least some of the payments to John Ashe were sent to or through bank accounts in the Southern District of New York or were provided in cash in the Southern

As I stated, there would be evidence that the United Nations received more than \$10,000 in federal funds during at least the years 2011 through 2015. Further, there would be evidence in the forms described earlier that Mr. Lorenzo knowingly failed to file to the Internal Revenue Service that he had multiple foreign bank accounts and knowingly submitted tax returns. As I mentioned those tax returns were prepared in Manhattan and there would be evidence of that and they were mailed from the Bronx. Those tax returns omitted the full amount of his gross income, which included payments made to him as part of the bribery and money laundering schemes that I have just described.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lorenzo, did you hear what the prosecutor just said?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: By pleading guilty, you will be giving up

your right to challenge all of the evidence that she just mentioned.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you do the things that the prosecutor just mentioned?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do both counsel agree that there is a sufficient factual predicate for a guilty plea?

MS. ECHENBERG: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BIEBER: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Does either counsel know of any reason that I should not accept Mr. Lorenzo's guilty plea?

MS. ECHENBERG: No, your Honor.

MR. BIEBER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, because you acknowledge that you are in fact guilty as charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six of the superseding information, because I am satisfied that you know your rights including your right to go to trial and that you are aware of the consequences of your plea including the sentence which may be imposed and because I find that you are knowingly and voluntarily pleading guilty, I will accept your guilty plea and I will enter a judgment of guilt on Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six of the superseding information.

Now, Mr. Lorenzo, the Probation Department is going to eventually want to prepare a presentence report and they will want to interview you in connection with that.

Mr. Bieber, would you like to be present?

MR. BIEBER: Absolutely, yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Lorenzo, unless Mr. Bieber is present that interview will not take place. Now, if you decide to speak to the Probation Department, make sure that anything you tell them is truthful and accurate. I am going to read the report they prepare very carefully and it is important to me in deciding what sentence I will impose. You and your attorney, Mr. Bieber, will have every right to comment on that report. So if there are any errors in that, be sure that you mention it to Mr. Bieber so that he can speak to the Probation Department about that.

In light of the agreement that you have with the government, we're going to set a control date for sentencing of June 24th. Now, again in light of the agreement, the government's obligation to provide the Probation officer with its factual statement will be held in abeyance until such time as we set an actual sentencing date.

MR. BIEBER: Excuse me, Judge.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BIEBER: I am out of the country then. I understand it is just a control date. Can we set it for July

```
or should we leave that?
1
 2
               THE COURT: We can put it in July.
               Ms. Williams.
 3
               THE COURT: Off the record for a second.
 4
 5
               (Discussion off the record)
 6
               MR. BIEBER: I am actually back the following week,
 7
      Wednesday or Thursday.
8
               THE COURT: We'll make it July 8th.
9
               MR. BIEBER: Thank you, Judge.
10
               THE COURT: With regard to any sentencing submissions,
      I direct the parties to my individual rules with regard to
11
12
      that. Typically I require the defense submission two weeks
13
      prior to sentencing and the government's one week prior to
14
      sentencing.
               Is there anything else that we need to deal with
15
16
      today?
17
               MS. ECHENBERG: Nothing from the government.
18
               THE COURT: Mr. Bieber?
19
               MR. BIEBER: No, Judge.
20
               THE COURT: We stand adjourned.
21
                                     000
22
23
24
25
```