С	se 2:18-cv-00803-MWF-JEM	Document 34-1	Filed 02/11/1	9 Page 1 of 5	Page ID #:609
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. LAVAR TAYLOR (CA Email: ltaylor@taylorlaw.co JONATHAN T. AMITRAN Email: jamitrano@taylorlaw Law Offices of A. Lavar Tay 3 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite Santa Ana, CA 92707 Telephone: (714) 546-0445 Facsimile: (714) 546-2604	om O (CA SBN # 2 .com ylor, LLP	283389)		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Jan	e Boyd			
9 10 11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION				
12	UNITED STATES OF AME	FRICA	'ase No. 2·18.	-cv-00803-MV	VF-IFM
13	Plaintiff,		<i>ase</i> 110. 2.10 ⁻		
14 15	vs.	τ		VERTED FA	
16 17	JANE BOYD, Defendant	S	UPPORT OF IOTION FO	ONS OF LAW F DEFENDAI R SUMMAR	NT'S Y
18			UDGMENT .OCAL RUL	PURSUANT E 56-1	ТО
19		Ľ	loaring Data	March 11, 2019	
20 21		Т Ј	'ime: udge:	10:00 a.m. Hon. Michael W 5A	. Fitzgerald
22			·····		
23 24	Pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule				
25	56-1, Defendant Jane Boyd ("Defendant" or "Ms. Boyd") hereby submits her				
26 27	Separate Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law in support of				support of
28	her Motion for Summary Judgment.				
	{00102097.DOCX}Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law				

Case 2:18-cv-00803-MWF-JEM	Document 34-1	Filed 02/11/19	Page 2 of 5	Page ID #:610

Statement of Uncontroverted Facts

3	

2

1

•		
4	Uncontroverted Material Facts	Supporting Evidence
5	1. During tax year 2010 the Defendant	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
5	had a financial interest in, signatory	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
6	authority over, and otherwise	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions,
7	controlled fourteen financial accounts	Request No. 3.
	in the United Kingdom.	
8	2. In 2010, Defendant's UK bank	Complaint to Reduce Federal Penalty
9	accounts had an aggregate balance that	Assessment to Judgment, ¶11;
10	exceeded \$10,000.	Defendant's Answer to Complaint,
10		¶11.
11	3. Defendant was required by law to	Complaint to Reduce Federal Penalty
12	file an FBAR disclosing her financial	Assessment to Judgment, ¶12.
	interest in her fourteen UK bank	Defendant's Answer to Complaint,
13	accounts for 2010, but failed to timely	¶12; Declaration of Jonathan T.
14	do so.	Amitrano, ¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's
15		Response to Plaintiff's Request for
15		Admissions, Request No. 3 & 15.
16	4. In 2012 the Defendant applied to the	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
17	IRS's Offshore Voluntary Disclosure	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
	Program and disclosed the U.K.	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions,
18	accounts to the IRS.	Request No. 16.
19	5. The IRS Offshore Voluntary	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
20	Disclosure Program was for taxpayers	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
20	to voluntarily report previously	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions,
21	undisclosed foreign accounts and	Request No. 17.
22	assets.	
	6. On or around October 18, 2012,	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
23	Defendant submitted to the IRS a	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
24	delinquent FBAR for tax year 2010.	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions,
25		Request No. 18.
25	7. Defendant's 2010 FBAR reported a	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
26	total of fourteen foreign financial	¶4, Exhibit B, Plaintiff's Response to
27	accounts.	Defendant's Request for Production of
<i>21</i>		Documents, pages 0310 to 0313.
28		

1	8. On January 21, 2014, IRS Revenue	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
2	Agent Palladino sampled the 2010	¶6, Exhibit D, Plaintiff's Response to
	FBAR provided by the Defendant and	Defendant's Request for Production of
3	found that the FBAR was substantially	Documents, pages 0247 to 0248.
4	correct.	
5	9. During the examination of	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
5	Defendant's FBAR compliance the IRS	¶9, Exhibit G, Plaintiff's Response to
6	determined that Ms. Boyd's lack of	Defendant's Requests for Admissions,
7	FBAR compliance was eligible for	Request No. 8 & 9.
	level II NW (non-willful) mitigation.	
8	10. With respect to Defendant's failure	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
9	to file a 2010 FBAR, the IRS was	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
10	authorized to assess civil penalties	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions,
10	against the Defendant no later than	Request No. 24.
11	June 30, 2017.	
12	11. On February 3, 2015 the IRS sent a	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
10	letter to Ms. Boyd proposing a \$47,279	¶3, Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Response to
13	FBAR penalty against her for tax year	Defendant's Request for Production of
14	2010.	Documents, pages 0279 to 0285.
15	12. Attached to the February 3, 2015	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
	letter was Form 13348 which outlined	¶3, Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Response to
16	the proposed penalty computation.	Defendant's Request for Production of
17		Documents, pages 0279 to 0285.
10	13. The proposed \$47,279 FBAR	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
18	penalty for 2010 was computed by	¶3, Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Response to
19	taking 10% of the highest account	Defendant's Request for Production of
20	balance for each account for tax year	Documents, pages 0279 to 0285.
	2010, up to a maximum penalty of \$5,000 per account.	
21	14. On June 9, 2016, a delegate of the	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
22	Secretary of Treasury made a federal	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
23	FBAR penalty assessment against	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions,
	Defendant for the 2010 calendar year	Request No. 25 & 26.
24	in the amount of \$47,279.00.	
25	15. The 2010 FBAR penalty assessed	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
	against the Defendant was a non-	¶9, Exhibit G, Plaintiff's Response to
26	willful FBAR penalty.	Defendant's Requests for Admissions,
27		Request No. 6.
28		
	1	

1	16. The \$47,279 penalty assessment	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
2	consists of thirteen (13) separate FBAR	¶9, Exhibit G, Plaintiff's Response to
	penalties for tax year 2010.	Defendant's Requests for Admissions,
3		Request No. 7.
4	17. Each of the thirteen penalties	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
5	related to a foreign financial account	¶9, Exhibit G, Plaintiff's Response to
_	held by the Defendant which was not	Defendant's Requests for Admissions,
6	timely disclosed on her 2010 FBAR.	Request No. 7.
7	18. In assessing the thirteen separate	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
	FBAR penalties against the defendant,	¶9, Exhibit G, Plaintiff's Response to
8	the IRS treated each account that was	Defendant's Requests for Admissions,
9	not listed on a timely filed FBAR as a	Request No. 8.
10	separate non-willful violation.	
	19. As of the date of the 2010 FBAR	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
11	penalty assessment against the	¶9, Exhibit G, Plaintiff's Response to
12	Defendant, the IRS Internal Revenue	Defendant's Requests for Admissions,
10	Manual provided that the maximum	Request No. 10.
13	non-willful level II mitigation penalty	
14	was \$5,000 per violation.	
15	20. While the Defendant held a total of	Declaration of Jonathan T. Amitrano,
	fourteen (14) foreign financial	¶7, Exhibit E, Defendant's Response to
16	accounts, the IRS only assessed	Plaintiff's Request for Admissions to
17	penalties for thirteen (13) of the	Plaintiff, Request No. 3 & 28.
10	accounts.	
18	21. On January 31, 2018, the Plaintiff	Complaint to Reduce Federal Penalty
19	filed the present suit against the	Assessment to Judgment.
20	Defendant.	
20		

Proposed Conclusions of Law

21

22

23	1. The failure to file an FBAR for a	31 U.S.C. § 5321(a)(5).
24	specific year constitutes a single "violation" of 31 U.S.C. § 5321(a)(5).	
24	"violation" of 31 U.S.C. § 5321(a)(5).	
25	2. The non-willful penalty for failing to	31 U.S.C. § 5321(a)(5).
26	file an FBAR for a single year cannot exceed \$10,000, regardless of the number of foreign accounts held by the	
	exceed \$10,000, regardless of the	
27	number of foreign accounts held by the	
28	defendant.	

Ca	ase 2:18-cv-00803-MWF-JEM Document 34-1 Filed 02/11/19 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:61	13
1	3. The plaintiff is required to comply <i>Accardi</i> Doctrine.	
2	with its own mitigation guildelines	
3	pursuant to the <i>Accardi</i> Doctrine, and as such, the penalty in the present	
4	matter is limited to \$5,000, prior to any	
5	credits for payments made.	
6		
7	Respectfully submitted this 11th day of February, 2019.	
8		
9	/s/A. Lavar Taylor (with permission) A. LAVAR TAYLOR	
10	A. LAVAK TATLOK	
11	/s/Jonathan T. Amitrano	
12	JONATHAN T. AMITRANO	
13	Attorneys for Defendant,	
14	Jane Boyd	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	{00102097.DOCX}Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law -5 -	